

# NetSecOPEN Certification Network Security Product Performance Testing Cisco Secure Firewall 3105

## **Testing Information**

| Testing Information           |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Vendor                        | Cisco  |
| Product name and Model        | Security Devices: Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 Controller: Secure Firewall Management Center for VMware  |
| Product version:<br>Software  | Software: 7.4.1.1, OS: FX-OS 2.14.1, Vulnerability Database (VDB): 388, Snort Rule Update Version: 2024-08-02-001-vrt, Lightweight Security Package (LSP): lsp-rel-20240802-1505 |
| Test equipment                | Spirent Cyberflood C100-S3: for HTTP(S) traffic performance test<br>Keysight PerfectStorm One: for security effectiveness and Application<br>traffic mix performance test        |
| Test equipment version        | Cyberflood C100: S3- 24.3.1012, PerfectStorm One: 10.00.1000.14  |
| Test Lab                      | University of New Hampshire Interoperability Lab   |
| <b>Test Date and Location</b> | August 2024 Durham, NH   |

Table 1: Testing information

Tested based on RFC 9411, Benchmarking Methodology for Network Security Device Performance.

# **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

The goal of NetSecOPEN is to provide performance and security testing standards for the Network security products developed by the membership, implemented on approved test tools, and used by accredited test labs. These goals are intended to promote transparency and reproducibility. To achieve these goals the accredited labs freely provide access to their test reports, Device Under Test (DUT) vendors provide the configuration of the DUT as it was tested and the test tool vendors provide the default configuration, while the lab documents changes to the test tool in their report.

All of these are provided at no charge to interested parties. Anyone interested in having access to the configuration files please e-mail the NetSecOPEN Certification Body at <a href="mailto:netsecopen-cert-body@netsecopen.org">netsecopen.org</a>.

#### Summary of Findings

The NetSecOPEN Certification Body has reviewed the test report of the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 provided by the accredited test lab, University of New Hampshire Interoperability Lab. These results have been found to meet the NetSecOPEN certification requirements. Detailed results are provided below.

NetSecOPEN Certification is awarded to Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 (v7.4.1.1, OS: FX-OS 2.14.1). Note: this certification is product and version-specific.

© NetSecOPEN 2024 All Rights Reserved

Report template version: 2.0

Document: NetSecOPEN\_Report\_Cisco\_Secure\_Firewall\_(Version\_7.4.1.1)\_v1.0)

Created: October 2024



## **Results Summary**

This section describes the summary of the benchmarking performance tests and the security Effectiveness evaluation tests conducted based on <a href="https://example.com/RFC 9411">RFC 9411</a>.

#### Performance Test

Tables 2-4 below show the measured values for Key Performance Indicators (KPIs ) with different traffic. The KPI values for individual object sizes and test scenarios are described in the section. "Detailed Test Results". Spirent Cyberflood C100-S3 test equipment was used for the HTTP and HTTPS traffic performance test measurements, and Keysight PerfectStorm One test equipment was used for the Application Traffic Mix Performance test.

#### Application Traffic Mix Performance<sup>1</sup>

| Key Performance Indicator                  | Healthcare traffic mix | Education traffic mix |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Inspected Throughput                       | 3,589 Mbit/s           | 3,164 Mbit/s          |
| <b>Application Transactions per second</b> | 15,030                 | 17,691                |

Table 2: Results summary for application mix traffic test

#### **HTTP Traffic Performance**

| Key Performance Indicator     | Values   |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Connections Per Second (CPS)  | 42,366 CPS @ 1 KByte and 13,889 CPS @ 64 KByte object sizes                                  |
| Inspected Throughput          | 11,254 Mbit/s @ 256 KByte and 922 Mbit/s @ 1 KByte object sizes                              |
| Transactions Per Second (TPS) | 80,018 TPS @ 1 KByte and 5,241 TPS @ 256 KByte object sizes                                  |
| Time to First Byte (TTFB)     | 1.53 ms average TTFB @ 1 KByte and 1.51 ms average TTFB @ 64 KByte object sizes <sup>2</sup> |
| Time to Last Byte (TTLB)      | 0.75 ms average TTLB @ 1 KByte and 1.63 ms average TTLB @ 64 KByte object sizes <sup>2</sup> |
| Concurrent connection         | 1,999,872 average concurrent connection  |

Table 3: Results summary for HTTP tests

#### HTTPS Traffic Performance

| TITTI S Trainic renormance           |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Key Performance Indicator            | Values   |
|                                      |  |
| Connections Per Second (CPS)         | 6,922 CPS @ 1 KByte and 4,927 CPS @ 64 KByte object sizes                                    |
| Inspected Throughput                 | 4,545 Mbit/s @ 256 KByte and 549 Mbit/s @ 1 KByte object sizes                               |
| <b>Transactions Per Second (TPS)</b> | 38,352 TPS @ 1 KByte and 2,076 TPS @ 256 KByte object sizes                                  |
| Time to First Byte (TTFB)            | 3.02 ms average TTFB @ 1 KByte and 3.01 ms average TTFB @ 64 KByte object sizes <sup>2</sup> |
| Time to Last Byte (TTLB)             | 1.01 ms average TTLB @ 1 KByte and 2.29 ms average TTLB @ 64 KByte object sizes <sup>2</sup> |
| Concurrent connection                | 149,040 average concurrent connection  |

Table 4: Results summary for HTTPS tests

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The traffic mix profiles "Healthcare" and " Education" were defined by NetSecOPEN and the details can be found at https://www.netsecopen.org/traffic-mixes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tested with 50% of max. inspected throughput that the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 supported.



#### **Security Effectiveness Tests**

Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 blocked 5,319 Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) out of 5,389 which is approximately 98.7%.

Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 maintained threat detection or prevention capabilities while it was under load with legitimate user traffic and malicious traffic.

Details of the test scenarios are described in the section "Detailed Test Results".

# **Test Setup and Configurations**

All the tests were performed with the test setup (option 2) defined in <u>Section 4.1</u> of <u>RFC 9411</u>. Four 10GbE interfaces of the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 (DUT) were directly connected to the test equipment, and one controller (secure firewall management for VMware) was directly connected to the firewall.




Figure 1: Testbed Setup

The table below shows the recommended and optional Next Generation Firewall (NGFW) features described in Section 4.2 of RFC 9411 that were enabled/disabled on the security device.

| Features                   |             | Security device Status |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| TLS Inspection             | Recommended | Enabled                |
| IDS/IPS                    | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Antivirus                  | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Anti Spyware               | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Anti Botnet                | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Anti Evasion               | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Logging and Reporting      | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Application Identification | Recommended | Enabled                |
| Web Filtering              | Optional    | Disabled               |
| DLP                        | Optional    | Disabled               |
| DDoS                       | Optional    | Disabled               |
| Certificate Validation     | Optional    | Enabled                |

Table 5: NGFW security features

As defined in <u>Section 4.2</u> of <u>RFC 9411</u> (table 4, DUT classification "M") 234 ACL rules were configured on the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105.

All tests were performed with IPv4 traffic only. The **ECDHE-RSA-AES128-GCM-SHA256 with RSA 2048** cipher suite was used for all the HTTPS performance tests.



## **Detailed Test Results**

## Throughput Performance with Application Traffic Mix

The test was performed with two different application traffic mix profiles, namely Healthcare and Education traffic profiles that were defined by NetSecOPEN. More details of the traffic profiles can be found at https://www.netsecopen.org/traffic-mixes.

Figures 2 and 3 below show the distribution of applications for Healthcare and Education traffic profiles.




Figure 2: Healthcare Traffic Mix




Figure 3: Education Traffic Mix

Table 6 below shows the tested KPIs and supported values by Cisco Secure Firewall 3105

| Key Performance Indicator           | Healthcare traffic mix | Education traffic mix |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Inspected Throughput                | 3,589 Mbit/s           | 3,164 Mbit/s          |
| Application Transactions per second | 15,030                 | 17,691                |

Table 6: Throughput performance with application mix traffic profiles



## TCP Connections per Second with HTTP Traffic

| Object Size [KByte] | Avg. TCP Connections Per Second |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                   | 42,366                          |
| 2                   | 40,245                          |
| 4                   | 38,007                          |
| 16                  | 28,183                          |
| 64                  | 13,889                          |

Table 7: TCP/HTTP Connections per Second

## **HTTP Throughput**

| Object Size [KByte] | Avg. HTTP Inspected Throughput [Mbit/s] | Avg. HTTP Transaction Per Second |
|---------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 1                   | 922                                     | 80,018                           |
| 16                  | 5,835                                   | 42,548                           |
| 64                  | 10,161                                  | 18,838                           |
| 256                 | 11,254                                  | 5,241                            |
| Mixed objects       | 9,484                                   | 21,407                           |

Table 8: HTTP Throughput

#### **HTTP Transaction Latency**

The test was performed with two traffic load profiles as defined in <u>RFC 9411</u>. Table 9 below describes the latency results measured with 50% of the maximum connection per second supported by Cisco Secure Firewall 3105.

| <b>Object Size</b> | Time to First Byte [ms] |      |      | Time to Last | Byte [ms] |      |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------|------|--------------|-----------|------|
| [KByte]            | Min                     | avg  | Max  | Min          | Avg       | Max  |
| 1                  | 1.62                    | 1.63 | 1.64 | 0.86         | 0.86      | 0.87 |
| 16                 | 1.23                    | 1.24 | 1.25 | 1.22         | 1.22      | 1.23 |
| 64                 | 1.29                    | 1.31 | 1.33 | 2.69         | 2.71      | 2.73 |

Table 9: TCP/HTTP TTFB and TTLB @ 50% of the maximum connection per second

Table 10 below describes latency results measured with 50% of the maximum throughput supported by Cisco Secure Firewall 3105.

| Object Size | Time to First Byte [ms] |      |      | Time to Last Byte [ms] |      |      |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|------|------------------------|------|------|
| [KByte]     | Min                     | avg  | Max  | Min                    | Avg  | Max  |
| 1           | 1.51                    | 1.53 | 1.56 | 0.74                   | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| 16          | 1.11                    | 1.13 | 1.18 | 0.72                   | 0.73 | 0.74 |
| 64          | 1.47                    | 1.51 | 1.59 | 1.61                   | 1.63 | 1.66 |

Table 10: TCP/HTTP TTFB and TTLB @ 50% of the maximum Throughput

#### Concurrent TCP Connection Capacity with HTTP Traffic

The Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 supported 1,999,872 concurrent TCP connections in average. 1 KByte object size was used as HTTP GET requests for each established TCP connection.



## TCP Connections per Second with HTTPS Traffic

| Object Size [KByte] | Avg. TCP/HTTPS Connections |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
|                     | Per Second                 |
| 1                   | 6,922                      |
| 2                   | 6,796                      |
| 4                   | 6,733                      |
| 16                  | 6,300                      |
| 64                  | 4,927                      |

Table 11: TCP/HTTPS Connections per Second

## **HTTPS Throughput**

| Object Size [KByte] | Avg. HTTPS Inspected Throughput [Mbit/s] | Avg. HTTPS Transaction Per Second |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1                   | 549                                      | 38,352                            |
| 16                  | 3,554                                    | 24,985                            |
| 64                  | 4,541                                    | 8,224                             |
| 256                 | 4,545                                    | 2,076                             |
| Mixed objects       | 4,166                                    | 9,175                             |

Table 12: HTTPS Throughput

## **HTTPS Transaction Latency**

The test was performed with two traffic load profiles as defined in the <u>RFC 9411</u>. Table 13 The latency results described below were measured using 50% of the maximum connection per second supported by Cisco Secure Firewall 3105.

| Object Size | Time to First Byte [ms] |      |      | Time to Last Byte [ms] |      |      |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|------|------------------------|------|------|
| [KByte]     | Min                     | avg  | Max  | Min                    | Avg  | Max  |
| 1           | 3.08                    | 3.11 | 3.14 | 1.11                   | 1.12 | 1.15 |
| 16          | 2.97                    | 3.01 | 3.06 | 1.74                   | 1.77 | 1.79 |
| 64          | 2.98                    | 3.02 | 3.06 | 2.54                   | 2.58 | 2.64 |

Table 13: TCP/HTTPS TTFB and TTLB @ 50% of the maximum connection per second

Table 14 The latency results below are measured with 50% of the maximum throughput supported by Cisco Secure Firewall 3105.

| Object Size | Time to First Byte [ms] |      |      | Time to Last Byte [ms] |      |      |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|------|------------------------|------|------|
| [KByte]     | Min                     | avg  | Max  | Min                    | Avg  | Max  |
| 1           | 2.98                    | 3.02 | 3.09 | 1.01                   | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 16          | 2.74                    | 2.78 | 2.84 | 1.63                   | 1.65 | 1.66 |
| 64          | 2.96                    | 3.01 | 3.40 | 2.26                   | 2.29 | 2.32 |

Table 14: TCP/HTTP TTFB and TTLB @ 50% of the maximum Throughput

## Concurrent TCP Connection Capacity with HTTPS Traffic

Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 supported 149,040 concurrent TCP connections on average. 1 KByte object size was used as HTTPS GET requests for each established TCP connection.



## **Security Effectiveness Tests**

Two test scenarios were tested; namely security effectiveness detection rate and security effectiveness under load. Keysight PerfectStorm One test equipment was used for the security Effectiveness tests.

#### Security Effectiveness Detection Rate

This test was to verify that Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 detects, prevents, and reports several types of attack scenarios. This test was performed without sending legitimate user traffic.

The Table 15 below shows the results of this test:

| Attack scenario                      | Number of tested attack scenarios | Blocked by Cisco secure Firewall 3105 | Blocked<br>Rate (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Public Vulnerabilities <sup>3</sup>  | 1,380                             | 1,354                                 | 98.12               |
| Private Vulnerabilities <sup>4</sup> | 180                               | 173                                   | 96.11               |
| Malware                              | 3,809                             | 3,773                                 | 99.05               |
| <b>Evasion Techniques</b>            | 19                                | 19                                    | 100                 |

Table 15: Security Effectiveness Detection Rate

#### Security Effectiveness Under Load

The test was to verify that the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 can maintain threat detection and prevention capabilities while the security engine of the Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 is under load with legitimate users and malicious traffic. In this test, the test equipment was configured to emulate the application traffic mix as legitimate traffic at the rate of 96% of the Maximum inspected throughput measured in the test scenario "Throughput Performance with Application Traffic Mix".

Simultaneously the test equipment was configured to generate 50 CVEs from the public vulnerability set.

Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 security engine detected and reported all 50 CVEs while it was under load conditions.

Table 16 below shows the results in summary.

| Generated Legitimate Traffic  | Number of CVEs | Blocked CVEs | Not blocked CVEs |
|---|----------------|--------------|------------------|
| Healthcare Traffic mix at 3,437 Mbit/s (96% of maximum inspected Throughput | 50             | 50           | 0                |
| Education Traffic mix at 3,018 Mbit/s                                       | 50             | 50           | 0                |
| (96% of maximum inspected Throughput  |                |              |                  |

Table 16: Security Effectiveness Under Load

#### Certification

After being reviewed by the NetSecOPEN Certification Body, Cisco Secure Firewall 3105 (v7.4.1.1, OS: FX-OS 2.14.1) was awarded certification in October 2024.

Note: this certification is product and version-specific.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the certification, NetSecOPEN provided the test labs with a list of public vulnerabilities (CVEs) to perform the security effectiveness test. The CVEs were selected according to the definition in section 4.2.1 of RFC 9411. The security device vendor knew about this CVE list before the test was started.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> NetSecOPEN also provided the list of Private Vulnerabilities. However, the Security device vendor is unaware of this list.