

University of New Hampshire InterOperability Laboratory

NetSecOPEN TEST REPORT NOVEMBER 2020

www.iol.unh.edu

MIKE DEICHMAN WATCHGUARD TECHNOLOGIES INC. MIKE.DEICHMAN@WATCHGUARD.COM

DEVICE AND TEST PLAN INFORMATION	
Device Under Test (DUT)	Firebox M5800
Test Specification/Suite	Benchmarking Methodology for Network Security Device Performance draft-ietf-bmwg-ngfw-performance-04
UNH-IOL Test Result ID	32703

CONTACT INFORMATION				
Hannah Dukeman	hdukeman@iol.unh.edu			
Hannah Dukeman	hdukeman@iol.unh.edu			
Chris Brown	cbrown@iol.unh.edu			
	Hannah Dukeman Hannah Dukeman			

Please use Adobe Acrobat to validate the authenticity of this document.





TESTING NOTES

The following table contains any notes on the testing process or on general DUT behavior.

NOTES

Throughput performance with NetSecOPEN traffic mix portion of the methodology is currently still under development; therefore, not reported.

Both public and private Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) sets were tested against the device under test to confirm that the device exhibited the enabled security functionality. This portion of the methodology is currently still under development; therefore, the results are not officially reported for NetSecOPEN certification.

The test tool is currently unable to send transactions after opening a TCP connection with the "HTTP Open Connections" template leveraged in test cases 7.5 & 7.9 Concurrent Connection Capacity. Therefore, the "HTTP Throughput" template was utilized.

REVISION HISTORY

The following table contains a revision history for this report.

REVISION	DATE	ATE AUTHOR EXPLANATION		
1.0	11/13/2020	Hannah Dukeman	Initial version	
2.0	11/30/2020	Chris Brown	Added an outline of the test setup and cipher suites used in the HTTPS test cases.	



Page 3 of 23

DEVICE INFORMATION

COMPONENT	DESCRIPTION
Device Name	Firebox M5800
UNH-IOL Device Identification Number	FW-WATCHGRD-0000027404
Device Model	M5800
Device Firmware	12.6.2 (Build 628008)
Interfaces Tested	Ethernet 8, Ethernet 9, Ethernet 10, Ethernet 11, Ethernet 16, Ethernet 17
Interfaces Speed	10G
Controller Name	N/A
Controller Model	N/A
Controller Firmware	N/A
Virtual VNF	N/A
VM Cores Used	N/A
VM RAM Used	N/A
Pinning Information	N/A
Hypervisor Name	N/A
Hypervisor Version	N/A





DEVICE ENABLED FEATURES

FEATURE	STA	TUS
FEATORE	ENABLED	DISABLED
SSL Inspection	\checkmark	
IDS/IPS	\checkmark	
Web Filtering		\checkmark
Antivirus	\checkmark	
Anti-Spyware	\checkmark	
Anti-Botnet	\checkmark	
DLP		\checkmark
DDoS		\checkmark
Certificate Validation		\checkmark
Logging and Reporting	\checkmark	
Application Identification	\checkmark	

DEVICE ACL RULES

RULE TYPE	ACTION	# OF RULES
Application Layer	Block	20
Transport Layer	Block	100
IP Layer	Block	100
Application Layer	Allow	10
Transport Layer	Allow	3
IP Layer	Allow	3




TEST TOOL A	AND ENVIRONMENT	INFORMATION
--------------------	-----------------	-------------

COMPONENT	DESCRIPTION		
Performance Test Equipment Vendor	Spirent		
Performance Hardware Name	SPT-C100-S3		
Performance Hardware Firmware	5.13.0817		
Performance Hardware Interface Type	10G		
Performance Application Software Name	Cyberflood		
Performance Application Software Version	20.5.4008		
Efficiency Test Equipment Vendor	Spirent		
Efficiency Hardware Name	SPT-C100-S3		
Efficiency Hardware Firmware	5.13.0817		
Efficiency Hardware Interface Type	10G		
Efficiency Application Software Name	Cyberflood		
Efficiency Application Software Version	20.5.4008		
Client IP Subnet 1	10.10.0.0/21		
Client IP Subnet 2	10.12.0.0/21		
Client IP Subnet 3	10.14.0.0/21		
Server IP Subnet 1	10.11.0.0/21		
Server IP Subnet 2	10.13.0.0/21		
Server IP Subnet 3	10.15.0.0/21		
Traffic Distribution Ratio	IPv4	IPv6	
	100%	0%	
Cipher Suite	ECDHE-RSA-AES128-GCM-SHA256 with RSA 2048		



Page 6 of 23

TESTBED SETUP







KPI RESULT SUMMARY

SECTION 7.1

TEST CASE	КРІ	TRAFFIC MIX (SSL DISABLED)	TRAFFIC MIX (SSL ENABLED)	
	Throughput	N/A	N/A	
Throughput Performance with NetSecOPEN Traffic	TPS	N/A	N/A	
Mix	TTFB	N/A	N/A	
	TTLB	N/A	N/A	

SECTION 7.2

TEST CASE	KPI	1K	2K	4K	16K	64K
TCP/HTTP Connections Per Second	CPS	19,766	19,358	19,020	17,536	13,409

SECTION 7.3

TEST CASE	KPI	1K	16K	64K	256K	МІХ
HTTP Throughpu	TPUT (Kbit/s)	343,594	3,291,631	10,242,651	15,751,473	8,750,612
t	TPS	27,532	24,061	19,071	7,363	19,807

Page 8 of 23

TEST CASE	KPI	CPS 1K	CPS 16K	CPS 64K	TPUT 1K	TPUT 16K	TPUT 64K
	TTFB Average (msec)	1.0	1.2	1.4	0.7	0.9	1.3
	TTFB Minimum (msec)	0.438	0.444	0.439	0.395	0.415	0.431
TCP/HTTP Transaction	TTFB Maximum (msec)	74.946	55.607	59.98	49.543	48.913	66.999
Latency	TTLB Average (msec)	1.57	1.85	2.73	200.63	1.08	2.04
	TTLB Minimum (msec)	0.441	0.719	1.325	0.755	0.521	0.916
	TTLB Maximum (msec)	60.862	57.312	206.094	256.54	77.444	207.52

SECTION 7.4

SECTION 7.5

TEST CASE	KPI	1K
Concurrent TCP/HTTP Connection Capacity	CC	119,997



Page 9 of 23

SECTION 7.6

TEST CASE	KPI	1K	2K	4K	16K	64K
TCP/HTTPS Connections Per Second	CPS	1,653	1,641	1,632	1,602	1,519

SECTION 7.7

TEST CASE	KPI	1K	16K	64K	256K	МІХ
HTTPS	TPUT (Kbit/s)	208,401	1,512,966	3,600,194	5,002,736	3,121,531
Throughput	TPS	13,284	10,805	6,654	2,332	6,999

SECTION 7.8

TEST CASE	KPI	CPS 1K	CPS 16K	CPS 64K	TPUT 1K	TPUT 16K	TPUT 64K
	TTFB Average (msec)	10.7	10.8	10.2	10.7	9.9	9.8
	TTFB Minimum (msec)	5.22	7.017	4.888	7.099	7.071	7.082
TCP/HTTPS Transaction	TTFB Maximum (msec)	77.19	72.972	68.701	70.42	83.599	123.612
Latency	TTLB Average (msec)	196.41	196.98	210.44	221.33	21.35	29.90
	TTLB Minimum (msec)	0.772	142.333	4.141	200.46	0.806	1.832
	TTLB Maximum (msec)	249.754	249.752	1533.709	451.033	248.626	1117.116


SECTION 7.9

TEST CASE	KPI	1K
Concurrent TCP/HTTPS Connection Capacity	CC	149,994





GRAPHS




TCP/HTTP Connections Per Second Sustained Phase


Maximum sustainable TCP/HTTP connection establishment rate supported by the DUT under different throughput load conditions.








HTTP Transactions Per Second Sustained Phase



Maximum sustainable throughput for HTTP transactions varying the HTTP response object size.






TCP/HTTP Transaction Latency Connections Per Second Sustained Phase


TCP/HTTP Transaction Latency Throughput Sustained Phase

- TPUT 1 KByte •• TPUT 16 KByte - TPUT 64 KByte




Average HTTP transaction latency time to first byte with sustainable HTTP transactions per second under different HTTP response object sizes. First scenario with a single transaction and the second scenario is with multiple transactions within a single TCP connection.

10




TCP/HTTP Transaction Latency Connections Per Second Sustained Phase





Average HTTP transaction latency time to last byte with sustainable HTTP transactions per second under different HTTP response object sizes. First scenario with a single transaction and the second scenario is with multiple transactions within a single TCP connection.

Page 15 of 23




Concurrent TCP/HTTP Connection Capacity

Maximum achievable HTTP connections per second with 1 KByte object size.








TCP/HTTPS Connections Per Second Sustained Phase

Maximum sustainable TCP/HTTPS connection establishment rate supported by the DUT under different throughput load conditions.









HTTPS Transactions Per Second Sustained Phase






Maximum sustainable throughput for HTTPS transactions varying the HTTPS response object size.



TCP/HTTPS Transaction Latency Connections Per Second Sustained Phase


TCP/HTTPS Transaction Latency Throughput Sustained Phase



Average HTTPS transaction latency time to first byte with sustainable HTTPS transactions per second under different HTTPS response object sizes. First scenario with a single transaction and the second scenario is with multiple transactions within a single TCP connection.


© 2020 UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE INTEROPERABILITY LABORATORY

ĨO




TCP/HTTPS Transaction Latency Connections Per Second Sustained Phase

TCP/HTTPS Transaction Latency Throughput Sustained Phase



Average HTTPS transaction latency time to last byte with sustainable HTTPS transactions per second under different HTTPS response object sizes. First scenario with a single transaction and the second scenario is with multiple transactions within a single TCP connection.



Concurrent TCP/HTTPS Connection Capacity

Maximum achievable HTTPS connections per second with 1 KByte object size.





APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1: KPI KEY

The following table contains possible KPIs and their meanings.

KPI	MEANING	INTERPRETATION			
CPS	TCP Connections Per Second	Measures the average established TCP connections per second in the sustaining period. For "TCP/HTTP(S) Connection Per Second" benchmarking test scenario, the KPI is measured average established and terminated TCP connections per second simultaneously.			
ТРИТ	Throughput	Measures the average Layer 2 throughput within the sustaining period as well as average packets per seconds within the same period. The value of throughput is expressed in Kbit/s.			
TPS Application Transactions Per Second		Measures the average successfully completed application transactions per second in the sustaining period.			
TTFB	Time to First Byte	Measure the minimum, maximum and average time to first byte. TTFB is the elapsed time between sending the SYN packet from the client and receiving the first byte of application date from the DUT/SUT. TTFB SHOULD be expressed in milliseconds.			
TTLB	Time to Last Byte	Measures the minimum, maximum and average per URL response time in the sustaining period. The latency is measured at Client and in this case would be the time duration between sending a GET request from Client and the receival of the complete response from the server. TTLB is expressed in milliseconds.			
СС	Concurrent TCP Connections	Measures the average concurrent open TCP connections in the sustaining period.			
N/A	Not Applicable	This test does not apply to the device type or is not applicable to the testing program selected.			



Î0

APPENDIX 2: CVE DETECTION RATES

As stated previously, we performed the CVE check to verify the security functionality of the DUT during performance test. Two vulnerability sets were used, one Public and one Private (The private set was not known to the DUT vendor in order to ensure the test was not being gamed). The public set contained approximately 435 CVEs and the private set contained approximately 30 CVEs.

As a preview to the security effectiveness test methodology under development, following are the respective private and public block rates used to verify security functionalities/modules are engaged.

The block rates for this test are:

PREVENT SCENARIO		SCENARIOS TOTAL	BLOCKED	NOT BLOCKED	
	Public CVE	435	96% (419)	4% (16)	
	Private CVE	33	94% (31)	6% (2)	



Page 23 of 23